

Appendix A – Schedule of consultation responses on the Draft Swansea LDP Review Report

Consultee	Comments summarised into issues and themes	Council's response	Changes proposed to the Review Report
	Executive Summary		
City & County of Swansea Council (CCS) Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Officer	Paragraph 13 - should specifically mention the Climate and Biodiversity Emergency declared by Swansea Council and mention Area Statements and SoNaRR	This paragraph acknowledges that changes in national, regional and local policy and circumstances will need to be reflected and does not seek to identify and detail all of the individual changes. The changes mentioned are though specifically covered in Chapter 2.	No changes required
	Chapter 1. Introduction and Background		
	No comments received		
	Chapter 2. Contextual Changes and Other Factors Informing LDP Review		
CCS Ecology Officer	Paragraph 2.2.3 - This will need to be revised to take into account PPW update to Chapter 6	The updates proposed by Welsh Government are currently subject to consultation in draft form and have not yet been adopted.	No changes required
CCS Ecology Officer	Paragraph 2.2.12 - needs to refer to the letter from Julie James re COP15, Biodiversity Deep Dive, Section 6 Duty and the Planning System, December 2022	Agreed, reference has been added to this letter.	The report has been amended

Local Nature Partnership (LNP) Officer	Paragraph 2.2.51 - Change the existing text "The Council recognises that biodiversity loss is every much as serious to our future survival as climate change...." to "nature recovery and ecosystem resilience are integral to our future survival and that the nature and climate emergencies are inherently linked".	It is agreed that some further clarification is useful and the text has been updated.	The report has been amended
LNP Officer	Paragraph 2.2.51 - Change the existing text "the Council has declared a nature emergency and the resulting Nature Recovery Action Plan and Climate Action Plan will need to be considered for the Replacement LDP..." to "The resulting Section 6 plan based on the Swansea Local Nature Recovery Action plan (produced by the Swansea LNP). NB both plans are still in draft as of 05/04/2023"	It is agreed that some further clarification is useful and the text has been updated.	The report has been amended
LNP Officer and also CCS Ecology Officers	Paragraph 2.2.11 Shouldn't the Section 6 Biodiversity duty be mentioned? Remove the word "new" as the legislation is not new anymore. The Marine Area Statement should be referenced.	Paragraph 2.2.12 sufficiently covers the Section 6 Duty. A reference will be added regarding the Marine Area Statement in this chapter. It is agreed that the word "new" should be removed.	The report has been amended
LNP Officer and also CCS Ecology Officer	Paragraph 2.4.2 - what are the sources of the monitoring that has shown that the policies regarding protected habitats and species are being implemented effectively?	The text is based on the findings of the published AMRs	No changes required
CCS Sustainable Policy Officer	Paragraph 2.2.20 - Important to ensure all the 6 standards in the legislation Flood and Water Management Act (2010) – Disposal of Surface Water through SuDs (January 2019) are addressed in the Replacement LDP, I note water quality is picked up in paragraph 4.4.121 but the amenity and biodiversity elements also	Whilst SUDS is covered by other legislation, the review of relevant LDP policies will consider the potential cross over and interlinked nature of these aspects. This feedback is noted and will inform the review along with other evidence and it is not necessary to add this level of detail to the	No changes required

	need to be reflected in the redrafting of the policies i.e. ER1, ER2, SI1, SI5/6 and PS1 and 2	Review Report itself so no changes are proposed to the Report.	
CCS AONB Officer	Paragraph 2.4.1 - SoNaRR states that "Wales failed to meet its 2010 international and national biodiversity targets, and that the decline has continued in many cases." These statements seem overly optimistic - I feel that there is a continuing gradual decline in all three issues.	The text is based on the findings of the published AMRs	No changes required
Mineral Products Association (MPA)	Paragraph 2.2.43 - We welcome reference to the RTS 2nd Review, however, would point out that the document was approved in 2020, not 2018.	Agree. Factual error in text to be corrected	The report has been amended
	Chapter 3. Future Evidence Base Requirements		
	The following comments were made in relation to the list under paragraph 3.2.2:		
Natural Resources Wales (NRW)	Detailed advice provided regarding key issues and challenges arising from SoNaRR 2020 Natural Resources Wales / SoNaRR2020: Transforming Wales. Need to also consider the Swansea Wellbeing Assessment; the WG Future Trends 2021 report; and the South West Area Statement and supporting detailed data (including spatial data) and evidence in the Area Statement Profiles; Marine Plan, Marine Area Statements and Shoreline Management Plans (SMPs) and keen to engage on how these can inform the Plan review.	Support and willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required

MPA	Amend text to say "Regional Technical Statements for the North Wales and South Wales Regional Aggregate Working Parties - 2nd Review"	Agree. Factual error in text to be corrected and title to be changed to 'Regional Technical Statements for the North Wales and South Wales Regional Aggregate Working Parties, 2nd Review (and Annex B South Wales), 2020' and also include the 'South Wales Regional Aggregates Working Party (SWRAWP) Annual Reports'.	The report has been amended
MPA	Amend text to include "the South Wales Regional Aggregates Working Party (SWRAWP) Annual Monitoring Reports"	Agreed this would be an useful addition.	The report has been amended
MPA	Needs to include consideration of the resources required and supply chain considerations associated with the proposed Swansea Tidal Lagoon and the Floating Offshore Wind proposals	Point noted, these topics will be covered in the RLDP review. However, this list primarily focuses on studies that the LPA needs to undertake and acknowledges that it is not intended to be completely definitive of all evidence needed for the Plan and that there will also be key evidence and data sets that are not listed but will be key to informing these studies and/or Replacement LDP formation	No changes required
CCS Ecology Officer	The list of evidence base assessments does not refer to any specific climate or nature assessments	Point noted, these topics will be covered in the RLDP review. However, this list primarily focuses on studies that the LPA needs to undertake and acknowledges that it is not intended to be completely definitive of all evidence needed for the Plan and that there will also be key evidence and data sets that are not listed but will be key to informing these studies and/or Replacement LDP formation	No changes required
LNP Officer	Refer to the Swansea Ecosystem Resilience Report 2022	Point noted, these topics will be covered in the RLDP review. However, this list primarily focuses on studies that the LPA needs to	No changes required

		undertake and acknowledges that it is not intended to be completely definitive of all evidence needed for the Plan and that there will also be key evidence and data sets that are not listed but will be key to informing these studies and/or Replacement LDP formation	
CCS AONB Officer	The list does not refer to SoNaRR nor Area Statements	Point noted, these topics will be covered in the RLDP review. However, this list primarily focuses on studies that the LPA needs to undertake and acknowledges that it is not intended to be completely definitive of all evidence needed for the Plan and that there will also be key evidence and data sets that are not listed but will be key to informing these studies and/or Replacement LDP formation	No changes required
Swansea Bay University Health Board (SBUHB)	Other potential data sources to consider including are as follows (further detail can be provided if required): West Glamorgan RPB Population Needs Assessment; Swansea Bay Pharmaceutical Needs Assessment; A Regional Collaboration for Health (ARCH) Health Needs Assessment; National well-being indicators; Population Health Outcomes Framework	Support and willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required
	Chapter 4. Review of adopted Swansea LDP		
	4.2 Review of LDP Issues, Vision and Objectives		
LNP Officer	Paragraph 4.2.2 - add at the end of the paragraph... "and embodies the Section 6 duty the council is subject to. "	Agreed, but it is not necessary to amend the text to specifically itemise the Duty.	No changes required

	4.4 Review of Other LDP Topic Areas and Policies		
Coastal Housing Association	Paragraphs 4.4.3 to 4.4.20 - Support the findings and look forward to the new LHMA	Support noted	No changes required
Coastal Housing Association	Paragraph 4.4.6 - Would welcome more H5 sites being allocated. Further non strategic 100% affordable allocated schemes outside of policy H5 would also be welcomed	The Review Report highlights that the Review will provide the opportunity to review the deliverability and viability of existing undelivered allocations; while at the same time also consider what other appropriate and sustainable sites are needed and which could contribute to the housing supply to meet housing need.	No changes required
Coastal Housing Association	A presumption in favour of 100% affordable, social rented, schemes would be welcome either as windfall or edge of settlement exception sites	The LDP has a policy framework for determining proposals for edge of settlement 100% affordable housing site proposals. This along with other policies of the plan will be reviewed.	No changes required
Coastal Housing Association	Policy T 6 - A review would be beneficial and a possible reduction in parking may make more schemes viable	The Review Report highlights that a review of this policy is required	No changes required
Individual	Paragraph 4.4.30 - New models of housing delivery are in evidence across the UK in the EU and further afield such as Co-housing. Yet there is not much mention of this in the LDP	Point noted. In the preparation of the RLDP, it will be reviewed whether any additional policies are required, for example, if any new models of housing delivery are not already adequately covered by existing policies and an amendment has been made to reflect this in paragraph 4.4.29 of the Review Report.	The report has been amended
Individual	Paragraph 4.4.30 - New models of healthcare provision may require a different approach in various policies in order to deliver functioning 'continuing care communities'	Point noted, paragraph 4.4.29 states that the Policy H 8 will be reviewed and it is not necessary to specify further detail at this stage	No changes required

CCS Education Officer	SD E - North of Clasemont Road, Morriston - Catchment Primary School has no capacity for additional pupils and this needs to be considered in the review	Engagement will be undertaken with the Education Dept with regard to the review of relevant policies in the LDP including policy SD E.	No changes required
CCS Councillor and also individuals	SD F - Cefn Coed Hospital, Cockett - Suggestions to review the site and for it to be used for nature conservation, and community uses such as allotments, nature trails, a community farm, and sports pitches, rather than it be a development site	The deliverability and viability of existing undelivered allocations will be reviewed as part of the preparation of the RLDP	No changes required
CCS Councillor	ER 1 and ER 2 - Ecosystems and Resilience - Protect wildlife and natural environment	The LDP contains appropriate relevant policies. These will be reviewed to ensure they are operating effectively	No changes required
Gorseinon Town Council	ER 1 and ER 2 - Ecosystems and Resilience - Greenfield sites of high agricultural value have been released and historic woodlands felled and green wedges released for housing	LDP allocations and windfall planning applications for development are required to conform to national planning policy on the Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land and this will be the case for the RLDP. In the preparation of the LDP, green wedges were reviewed to ensure they conformed to national planning policy, and the LDP contains a policy framework for the determination of proposals located in green wedges and has policies and SPG with regard to trees.	No changes required
NRW	Insufficient reference to the need to protect, enhance and restore important soil resources and detailed information provided to support the plan review on this topic and willingness to engage on this topic.	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required
NRW	Encourage the rLDP to consider opportunities for integrated catchment management and	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be	No changes required

	ensure protection and improvement of the water environment and detailed information provided to support the plan review on this topic and willingness to engage on this topic.	used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	
NRW	Welcome the reference on the need to protect and enhance ecosystem resilience, with biodiversity as a key attribute of resilience and detailed information provided to support the plan review on this topic and willingness to engage on this topic.	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required
NRW	The GI strategy for the rLDP should consider the significant contribution made by the “blue” infrastructure, namely Swansea Bay coastline, and the river Tawe which flows into the city centre. The GI Assessment will be a key part of the evidence base. This should include an assessment of both existing and potential resilient ecosystem networks. The response signposted guidance, relevant datasets, and local assessments of ecosystem resilience and GI networks that should inform the GIA. Willingness to engage on this topic.	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required
NRW	rLDP should recognise that healthy and resilient ecosystems are a pre-requisite for healthy and resilient lives and detailed information provided to support the plan review on this topic and willingness to engage on this topic.	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required
NRW	Welcome the reference to the need for climate mitigation and adaptation and recommend that the plan has due regard for the UK Climate Risk Independent Assessment (CCRA3). Keen	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of	No changes required

	to engage on this and listed specific topics and issues to be considered including nature based solutions, Energy efficiency and Low Carbon construction, Adaptation to flood risk and coastal Erosion.	the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	
NRW	Recommend that the rLDP considers how to embed green recovery into Swansea' regeneration and detailed information provided to support the plan review on this topic and willingness to engage on this topic.	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required
NRW	Suggest the current growth and spatial strategy in the adopted LDP is analysed to establish the extent to which it will be able to deliver over the long term against ambitious, transformative, and urgent challenges raised in our comments (see detail above). Willing to engage on this.	Willingness to engage is welcomed as is the detailed information provided which will be used to inform the review of relevant areas of the Plan. It is not necessary to amend the Review Report with additional detail.	No changes required
Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust (GGAT); and also an Individual	Policy HC1 – Historic and Cultural Environment - Continue to include these policies. Ensure they reflect that infill and backland developments, and householder development including changes to buildings can have a significant impact on both buried and upstanding remains. Sign posting is provided on the latest relevant national legislation, policy and guidance and an offer made to provide expert advice in the review of these policies. Suggest that the LPA introduces an SPG on The Historic Environment. All historic environment and archaeological work, including that undertaken to assess change in sensitive areas and which may impact the historic environment, should be undertaken to the	The policies HC 1 and 2 will be reviewed and your comments are noted. The offer of availability for advice is welcomed. The LPA will in the process of reviewing the policies consider whether SPG is needed to support them.	No changes required

	Standards and Guidance of the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists https://www.archaeologists.net/codes/cifa		
Wheelrights	Paragraph 4.4.113 - We request a copy of the strategic transport study undertaken for the LDP. The Council does not appear to have a sustainable transport policy or strategy that guides its work in developing a LDP	A copy of the Study can be provided. The transport evidence base upon which the LDP is based was examined by independent inspectors and the LDP was found to be sound. This is a key topic for review in the preparation of the RLDP.	No changes required
National Gas Transmission	Policy T5 - To ensure the policy remains consistent with national policy we would request the inclusion of a policy strand such as: "x. taking a comprehensive and co-ordinated approach to development including respecting existing site constraints including utilities situated within sites.	Point noted and will be considered in the review of the relevant policies but this level of detail does not need to be specified in the Review Report	No changes required
National Grid	Policy T5 - To ensure the policy is consistent with national policy we would request the inclusion of a policy strand such as: "x. taking a comprehensive and co-ordinated approach to development including respecting existing site constraints including utilities situated within sites."	Point noted and will be considered in the review of the relevant policies but this level of detail does not need to be specified in the Review Report	No changes required
Canal & River Trust	Paragraph 4.4.114 - offer of assistance for the policy review on policy T8.	The offer of assistance is noted	No changes required
Associated British Ports (ABP)	Paragraph 4.4.114 - The review of the LDP needs to have full regard to the increased economic activity associated with Floating Offshore Wind in the Celtic Sea (FLOW) and the designation of the Celtic Freeport in close proximity to Swansea. This will result in increased activity at the Port of Swansea. It	Response is noted for the review of the relevant policies and to inform the evidence base. The Council would welcome the opportunity to engage in the review of the policies relevant to Swansea port/docks. This level of detail is not required to be added to the Review Report.	No changes required

	should be considered in the Economic forecasting evidence base. Would welcome the opportunity to engage with the Council to shape the evidence base accordingly.		
MPA	Paragraph 4.4.114 - The evidence base should include consideration of the resources required and supply chain considerations associated with the proposed Swansea Tidal Lagoon and the Floating Offshore Wind proposals. These developments are likely to have significant aggregate demand. The evidence base should include full resource assessments both for the developments themselves and the consequential downstream re- development of land based activities and port infrastructure	Response is noted for the review of the relevant policies. The Council would welcome the opportunity to engage in the review of the policies relevant to Swansea port/docks. This level of detail is not required to be added to the Review Report.	No changes required
SBUHB	Health – support for the approach and wish to engage with the review process	Support and willingness to engage is welcomed	No changes required
LNP Officer	Paragraph 4.4.51 - after ...Local Nature Recover Plan)... add "and the Ecosystem Resilience Report"	Agreed this is a useful addition	The report has been amended
LNP Officer	Paragraph 4.4.56 - after "Having particular regard to local ecological designations (SINCs)" add ""and the Ecosystem Resilience Report"	Agreed this is a useful addition	The report has been amended
LNP Officer	Paragraph 4.4.56 - reference to "SINC" should be written in it's full title in this first instance	Agreed and this amendment has been made.	The report has been amended
LNP Officer and also CCS Ecology Officer	Paragraph 4.4.138 - should Ecosystem resilience mapping be mentioned? Needs to reflect new SINC boundaries	Point noted, both will form part of the review of this topic area in the preparation of the Replacement LDP, but it is not necessary to specify this level of detail in this paragraph as it just provides examples of the constraints covered and is not intended to be a comprehensive list.	No changes required

CCS Sustainable Policy Officer	Paragraph 4.4.52 - the review of the GI policy also needs to account for the provision for maintenance / nurturing	Point noted, this will form part of the review of this topic area in the preparation of the Replacement LDP, but it is not necessary to specify this level of detail in the Review Report.	No changes required
CCS Sustainable Policy Officer	Paragraph 4.4.74 - Opportunity to link the open space policy with the GI around multifunctionality of green spaces, addressing the challenges of the climate and nature emergencies, creating accessible spaces for mental and physical wellbeing being, community cohesion and creative play i.e. design of a accessible green space which includes SUDS systems, biodiversity benefits, opportunities for creative play etc	Point noted, this will form part of the review of this topic area in the preparation of the Replacement LDP, but it is not necessary to specify this level of detail in the Review Report.	No changes required
CCS Councillor	Paragraph 4.4.58 - Retain the green wedge between Dunvant and Gowerton	The Review Report highlights that while they are unlikely to be amended significantly, ER 3: Green Wedges will need to be reviewed as part of the development plan review process to ensure they remain appropriate and only designated where there is demonstrable need to protect the urban form and where alternative policy mechanisms such as settlement boundaries would not be sufficiently robust	No changes required
CCS Councillor	Paragraph 4.4.74 - Development sites should have public open space	The LDP contains policies which require the protection of existing public open spaces and a requirement for the provision of new open space on planning applications for new housing proposals. These will be reviewed to ensure they are operating effectively	No changes required
CCS Councillor	Policy T 1 – Transport, Movement and Connectivity - Ensure development has access to reliable and frequent bus services	New allocations will need to be located in sustainable locations, including access to frequent bus services.	No changes required

CCS Councillor	Policy T 1 – Transport, Movement and Connectivity - Ensure pavements are wide enough for prams and wheelchairs	The Plan contains policies to ensure appropriate provision of highways infrastructure to mitigate development.	No changes required
CCS Councillor; and also Gorseinon Town Council	Paragraph 4.4.68 - Inadequate consideration in the LDP to the impact of new housing on infrastructure such as doctors services, schools, traffic congestion, sewers etc. Ensure development has Infrastructure mitigation which is implemented in a timely manner to account for the impact of developments	The LDP has been subject to independent Examination and found to be sound. It contains policies to ensure development impacts are appropriately considered and mitigated at the planning application stage. A review of infrastructure and development requirements will form an integral element of the preparation of the RLDP. Updated Planning Obligations SPG will be prepared in tandem with the Replacement LDP to support these policies.	No changes required
Individual and also a CCS Councillor	Paragraph 4.4.111 - Walkable Neighbourhoods may require further definition. How can mixed uses be better integrated within 'housing' developments? Local business should be supported to serve the needs of the public	New allocations will need to be located in sustainable locations, including access to adequate local services, which will also help sustain these services. The LDP includes a sustainable employment strategy and policy framework to support economic growth. It also contains a policy to safeguard community facilities of local value.	No changes required
Individual	Paragraphs 4.4.83 and 84 - CV 1 and CV 2 should be reviewed. Too many large contemporary homes are being allowed. Infill development in settlement limits outside of key villages should be considered more favourably	LDP allows for infill within groups of dwellings for affordable local needs or rural enterprise dwelling.	No changes required
	Chapter 5. Opportunities for Collaborative Working		
	No comments received		

Chapter 6. Conclusions and Next Steps			
MPA	Paragraph 6.1.7 - it is not clear why the word "potential" is included where reference is made to minerals extraction.	It would be beneficial to simplify the sentence to refer to the development of mineral resources, as this is the policy (RP12) that is referred to	The report has been amended
Appendix 1: Policy Review			
LNP Officer	SD I - Swansea Vale - and SD L Tawe Riverside and Hafod Morfa Copperworks - refer to the need for ecological assessments due to the SINC	The deliverability and viability of existing undelivered allocations will be reviewed as part of the preparation of the RLDP. This will include a review of the evidence base.	No change required
CCS Sustainable Policy Officer	SD I - Swansea Vale - this policy needs updating, there needs to be more emphasis in the ecosystems and the use of GI in adapting to and mitigating for Climate change	The deliverability and viability of existing undelivered allocations will be reviewed as part of the preparation of the RLDP. This will include a review of the evidence base.	No change required
CCS Sustainable Policy Officer	Policy ER 11 - Trees, Hedgerows and Development - given the increasing focus on tree planting there is an opportunity to update to reflect both the SPG and the increasing demand for tree planting	Points noted as detailed aspects which the review should consider along with others but it is not necessary to add this level of detail to the Review Report itself so no changes are proposed to the Report.	No change required
CCS AoNB Officer	ER policies - needs to be clearer acknowledgment that all of these policies are linked, and not be seen in isolation.	Points noted as detailed aspects which the review should consider along with others but it is not necessary to add this level of detail to the Review Report itself so no changes are proposed to the Report.	No change required

CCS Ecology Officer	Policy ER 1 - Climate Change and ER 2 Strategic Green Infrastructure Network - needs updating to reflect the cross cutting nature and need for multifunctionality, quality of GI and maintenance also need to be included if possible to ensure good quality effective and efficient GI is installed, alignment with the City centre and emerging County GI strategy would be good using the 5 principles of GI etc. Policy could benefit from more narrative around the importance of creating more multifunctional urban green infrastructure. Links to the SUDS Standard could also be detailed in this policy.	Points noted as detailed aspects which the review should consider along with others but it is not necessary to add this level of detail to the Review Report itself so no changes are proposed to the Report.	No change required
CCS Ecology Officer	Policy ER 6 - Designated Sites of Ecological Importance - Will need to be updated following PPW revision	Points noted as detailed aspects which the review should consider along with others but it is not necessary to add this level of detail to the Review Report itself so no changes are proposed to the Report.	No change required
CCS Ecology Officer	Policy ER 9 - Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity - include the Ecosystem Resilience Project	Points noted as detailed aspects which the review should consider along with others but it is not necessary to add this level of detail to the Review Report itself so no changes are proposed to the Report.	No change required
The Gower Society	In principle we support the process but we have reservations about the administration of policies in the AONB. Detailed observations are provided on specific policies.	We note the feedback provided and this will inform the review of the policies as part of the preparation of the RLDP. It is not necessary to add this level of detail to the Review Report itself so no changes are proposed to the Report.	No change required
The Coal Authority	Policy RP 7 - Support the inclusion of this policy as part of any RLDP	Support is noted	No changes required

MPA	<p>Policy RP 12 - reference is made to the “apportionment re RTS2”. RTS2 in fact states the “minimum allocation required” and not an apportionment. The delivery of the required minimum should be through site allocations, within the LDP and not via apportioning reserves to neighbouring authorities. The wording should be amended.</p>	<p>RTS Annex B refers to ‘Apportionment for the future provision of land-won primary aggregates’. ‘Given the lack of existing operational sites within Swansea, the authority will need to seek proposals for new working from industry. In the event that allocations (or new permissions) cannot be made to address the shortfall, consideration may, subject to the circumstances and considerations set out in Annex A of the RTS Main Document, need to be given to collaborative working with neighbouring LPAs within the same sub-region, such that some of the required provision (apportionment) is effectively transferred. If such arrangements are made, they would need to be confirmed within a Statement of Sub-Regional Collaboration, produced in accordance with the guidance set out in Annex A, before any of the constituent LDPs are submitted for Examination.’ The RTS para B46 refers to the Pennant Sandstone outcrops within the Swansea Beds have not been worked on a modern commercial scale therefore detailed investigations are required before it can be relied upon. Until this information is forthcoming the MPA will not commit to future allocations at this stage of the RLDP process. The Council has an existing SSRC with Neath Port Talbot and Carmarthenshire Councils.</p>	<p>No changes required</p>
-----	---	---	----------------------------

MPA	Policy RP 13 - disagree that the policy is functioning effectively and that no change is required. The policy as worded only seeks to safeguard resources of aggregates. This is not a proper reflection of PPW. PPW requires the safeguarding of Minerals Resources AND Minerals Infrastructure (Paragraph 5.14.7). At present the current policy only seeks to safeguard aggregates and not wider minerals resources and minerals infrastructure. The policy should be amended.	Policy is considered to be functioning effectively, but will be reviewed during the preparation of the RLDP including against national planning policy requirements in PPW.	The report has been amended
	Appendix 2: Status of LDP Allocations		
Individual	Concerns expressed on the cost of producing LDP and concern that sites won't be delivered.	The deliverability and viability of existing undelivered allocations will be reviewed as part of the preparation of the RLDP to ensure the allocated sites have a realistic likelihood of being delivered within the plan period.	No change required
	Appendix 3: Glossary and Abbreviations		
	No comments received		